

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/706,843	HENDERSON ET AL.
	Examiner Susan F. Rayyan	Art Unit 2167

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 5/8/2007.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, now renumbered as claims 1-12.
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
Paper No./Mail Date _____
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

*Susan F. Rayyan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2167*

SF
Susan Rayyan
November 07, 2007

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see appeal brief filed on May 8, 2007, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-4, 6-9,11-14 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as directed to non-statutory subject matter have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claims 1-4, 6-9,11-14 has been withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Matthew S. Anderson, Registration Number 39,093 on November 7, 2007.

The application has been amended as follows:

Canceled claims 5,10,15.

In claim 11,

Line 1, after "readable" inserted --storage--

Reasons For Allowance

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claim 1, prior art of record does not teach a method for storing test results in a database, comprising a test result record and a compiled test result record have matching test identifiers and different test result identifiers, then modifying the end build identifier of the compiled test result record and creating a new compiled test result record in the test result database, the new compiled test result record having the same test identifier and test result identifier as the test result record, and having a start build version identifier corresponding to the build version identifier of the test result record.

Regarding independent claim 6, prior art of record does not teach a data processing system having at least a processor and accessible memory, comprising a test result record and a compiled test result record have matching test identifiers and different test result identifiers, then modifying the end build identifier of the compiled test result record and creating a new compiled test result record in the test result database, the new compiled test result record having the same test identifier and test result identifier as the test result record, and having a start build version identifier corresponding to the build version identifier of the test result record.

Regarding independent claim 11, prior art of record does not teach a computer program product tangibly embodied in a machine-readable storage medium, comprising a test result record and a compiled test result record have matching test identifiers and different test result identifiers, then modifying the end build identifier of the compiled test result record and creating a new compiled test result record in the test result database, the new compiled test result record having the same test identifier and test result identifier as the test result record, and having a start build version identifier corresponding to the build version identifier of the test result record.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-9,11-14 are allowed.

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan F. Rayyan whose telephone number is 571-272-1675. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on 571-272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan Rayyan
11/13/2007

Susan S. Nassum
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2167